

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,134	11/25/2003	Thomas P. Jerussi	4821-531-999	4423
20582 JONES DAY	7590 09/11/200	8	EXAMINER	
222 East 41st		ZUCKER, PAUL A		
New York, N	Y 10017-6702		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/720,134	JERUSSI ET AL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Paul A. Zucker	1621	

	Paul A. Zucker	1621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear		•	
The amendment document filed on is considered in 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document filed on is considered in 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document document filed on is considered in 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document document filed on is considered in 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document do			
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE A  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include n  B. New paragraph(s) should not be underli	narkings.	TO BE NON-COMPL	JANT:
2. Abstract:     A. Not presented on a separate sheet, 37 (     B. Other	CFR 1.72.		
3. Amendments to the drawings:     A. The drawings are not properly identified "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 Cf     B. The practice of submitting proposed drashowing amended figures, without mark	R 1.121(d). wing correction has been el	iminated. Replacem	ent drawings
□ 4. Amendments to the claims:     □ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is is □ B. The listing of claims does not include the □ C. Each claim has not been provided with of each claim cannot be identified. Not number by using one of the following st (Previously presented), (New), (Not ent □ D. The claims of this amendment paper ha 区 E. Other:	e text of all pending claims ( the proper status identifier, a e: the status of every claim atus identifiers: (Original), ( ered), (Withdrawn) and (Wit	and as such, the indi- must be indicated aff Currently amended), hdrawn-currently am	vidual status ter its claim (Canceled), ended).
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not See Continuation Sheet	signed in accordance with	37 CFR 1.4):	
For further explanation of the amendment format required	by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPE	P § 714.	
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE	<u>:</u>		
Applicant is given no new time period if the non-comfiled after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit tentire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.			
<ol> <li>Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whi correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of (including a submission for a request for continued ex amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are chec non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFF</li> </ol>	the following: a preliminary amination (RCE) under 37 ( CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and alked, the correction required	amendment, a non-fi CFR 1.114), a supple n amendment filed in	nal amendment mental response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1. amendment or an amendment filed in response to		liant amendment is a	non-final
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result Abandonment of the application if the non-com filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-complia amendment.	pliant amendment is a non-		
/Paul A. Zucker/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621			

Continuation of 5 Other: The amendment filed on 6/16/2008 canceling all claims drawn to the elected invention and presenting only claims drawn to a non-elected invention is non-responsive (MPEP § 821.03). The remaining claim is not readable on the elected invention because it is drawn to a method belonging to a different statutory class that was non-elected in Applicant's response of 3 May 2007.